MINUTES

CCSS Board of Directors meeting, July 6th, 2026
Online, Various Locations

JONES, Emma	External Executive	PEICU, Nadia	Interurban Director
PIMENTEL, Athena	Interurban Executive	VACANT	Interurban Director
LAM, Riley	Lansdowne Executive	PETERSON, Aleah	Lansdowne Director
BALUYUT, Terence	Finance Executive	ROUSSEAU-HANSON, Jessie	Lansdowne Director
PURNELL, Mia	SWA Director	VACANT	Lansdowne Director
CAMPOY, Hector	International Director	VACANT	Lansdowne Director
SAMANIEGO, Juan	Pride Director	CHOU, Angela	Off Campus Director
FREDERICKSON, Jeremy	Indigenous Director	HODSON, Liam	Education Council
SHARMA, Aarisca	Sustainability Director	STONE, Jay	Education Council
HUYNH, Madison	Women's Director	TOOTH, Acacia	Education Council
SIGOUIN, Nyleah	Interurban Director	PLOURDE, Tahlia	Board of Governors
QUESADA, Daniella	Interurban Director	LEYLAND, Sean	Board of Governors

Attending: Jessie Rousseau-Hanson, Madison Huynh, Nyleah Sigouin, Juan Samaniego, Jay Stone, Aleah Peterson, Sean Leyland, Mia Purnell, Acacia Tooth, Aarisca Sharma, Riley Lam, Angela Chou, Nadia Peicu, Daniella Quesada

Absent: Hector Campoy, Liam Hodson, Tahlia Plourde

Regrets: Terence Baluyut, Athena Pimentel

LOA: Emma Jones

Guests & Staff: Matthew Martin, Michael Glover, Hannah Carr, Gord Rant,

I Call to Order

a) **Meeting called to order by Speaker of Council**
Angela called to order at 5:12pm on July 6th, 2026

b) **Recognition of Coast Salish Territory**
Angela acknowledged the Coast Salish Territory on which the meeting is being held. Camosun College Student Society serves students on the traditional territories of Lekwungen and WSÁNEĆ speaking peoples, T'souke, Scia'new and Pacheedaht First Nations.

II Ratification of Agenda/Approval of Previous Minutes

Approval of Late Regrets

MOTION: Peterson/ Samaniego
To approve the late regrets of Jeremy Frederickson
CARRIED

Approval of Agenda

MOTION: Peterson / Sharma
To approve the agenda as presented
CARRIED

MINUTES

CCSS Board of Directors meeting, July 6th, 2026
Online, Various Locations

Approval of Previous Minutes

MOTION: Huynh / Sigouin
BIRT the CCSS Board of Directors approve the minutes from June 22nd, 2026
NO QUORUM TO PASS

Madison called a Point of Order, requesting a ruling regarding the contradictory motions on 06/22/26. In these two motions, one appointed Daniella and Nyleah as Interurban Directors, while the second vote contradicted the aforementioned motion by denying them the positions. Under Robert's Rules, the second motion should be rendered invalid and the appointment should be upheld.

The following rules were forwarded to the chair from Robert's Rules of Order, 12th edition:

- 23:6 (b) - exceptions to the time points of order can be called
- 39:5 and 39:6 on improper motions ie. conflicting motions
- 10:26 (4) also on motions that are not in order
- 35:6 (c) actions that cannot be rescinded or amended ie. when a person has been elected

Sean stated that the first motion should have indicated that the board was only accepting the nominations, and that, despite this error, it is the will of the board to not return to this issue. **Micheal** responded that there is, unfortunately, a legitimate conflict. Since the first motion was passed and not rescinded within that meeting, the two motions need to be resolved.

Jay suggested the council vote to rescind the motion. **Riley** clarified that, since it is a Point of Order, it cannot be a vote. The ruling must be determined by the Chair. **Madison** further clarified that, according to the appointment protections of Robert's Rules, the motion cannot be rescinded.

A 10-minute break was taken for the chair to review materials.

A suggestion to table the issue was made, however, **Juan** stated that we need to determine if the potential new board members have voting rights. **Michael** seconded this, and stated that the issue must be dealt with promptly. **Riley** stated that as soon as the board members were appointed, they had voting rights (46:46 immediate taking of office after an election)

Sean asked for some clarification regarding how the second motion could be upheld. **Madison** responded that, according to Robert's Rules, the board can only nullify the secondary vote and that the voting threshold to change a motion is two thirds. **Juan** seconded this.

Aleah stated that the fairest thing to do is to go through the nomination process again. **Michael** clarified that that doesn't resolve the conflicting issues. According to the rules, there was nothing that stopped the board from passing the initial motion to appoint. The only thing violated was convention, therefore it is a viable motion that was passed.

MINUTES

CCSS Board of Directors meeting, July 6th, 2026
Online, Various Locations

Angela stated that the first motion is correct according to Robert's Rules: Robert's Rules says that the correct way to object is to raise a Point of Order at the time of the mistake. If the members fail to do this, they have waived their right to object and tacitly accepted the outcome. The mistake does not invalidate the action taken. If everyone remains silent and the group moves on to the next item of business, the statement made by the chair is the official determination of the outcome, and stands as the action of the body.

She reminded everyone that they can call a point of order at any time.

The second motion is rendered null and void and Daniella and Nadia's appointments are upheld with full board member rights.

<u>III Presentations/Resignations/Guest Business/Announcements/etc.</u>

<u>IV Financial Motions/Issues</u>

<u>V Committee Reports</u>

a) Organizational Design—**Terence-** not in attendance

b) Special Events— **Athena / Riley-** There was a special events meeting on Thursday where they discussed the items that were listed in the agenda under financial motions. The ACR was discussed, along with fall events.

c) Campaigns & Advocacy— not in attendance

d) Executive Committee— not in attendance

e) Finance Committee— **Terence-** not in attendance

f) Electoral Committee— **Mia –** They are working on some suggestions regarding some of the bylaws.

g) Personnel Committee—**Sean –** no report.

<u>VI Member Reports</u>

<u>VIII Unfinished Business</u>

<u>VII Question Period</u>

<u>X New Business</u>

a) Foodbank Support – **Juan** explained to the board and he is looking for board approval to increase him hours for July and August to cover the sudden absence of our foodbank work study since he has done the job before. He will bring the motion to the next board meeting.

b) Looking for 3-4 members to sit on the 2026-27 Education Council Academic Appeal Panel – **Hannah**

As a panelist, the role is to participate with fellow panelists in hearing academic appeals as governed by the Academic Integrity policy, and the Grade Review and Appeals policy. The policies were developed through an extensive college-wide consultation process and came into effect January 1, 2021. As panel members, you will consider appeals and present findings to the VP Education, who will issue a final outcome, or make a recommendation to the President in cases of suspension. Meetings are once a month, in person or online format, and reviewing documents outside of scheduled meetings, in person or online format.

Juan expressed interest.

Sean stated that he has been on the panel for the last two years, and said the panel is extremely important. It's not making policy, but it is listening to the cases of students and is the last point to uphold the integrity of the college.

Jay expressed interest.

Hannah will be reaching out to the greater board after the board meeting since so many members were missing.

IX Adjournment

MOTION: Lam/Purnell
Adjourned at 6:16
CARRIED